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#### Abstract

Let $B$ denote the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with boundary $S$ and let $\sigma(v)$ be the standard normalized measure on $S(B)$. For fixed $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, R \geqslant 1$ let $B H^{P}\left(B_{R}\right)\left(B A^{p}\left(B_{R}\right)\right)$ denote the unit ball of the Hardy space $H^{P}$ (resp. the Bergman space $A^{\rho}$ ) in $B_{R}:=R B$ and for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ let $H_{R}(l, p, n)$ (resp. $\left.A_{R}(l, p, n)\right)$ denote the class of those functions which have the $l$ th radial derivative belonging to $B H^{p}\left(B_{R}\right)\left(B A^{f}\left(B_{R}\right)\right.$; for $l=0$, let $H_{R}(0, p, n):=B H^{\prime}\left(B_{R}\right) \quad\left(A_{R}(0, p, n):=B A^{f}\left(B_{R}\right)\right)$. The values of Kolmogorov, Gel'fand, and Bernstein and linear $N$-widths of classes $H_{R}(l, p, n)$ and $A_{R}(l, p, n)$ in the metrics $L^{p}(\sigma)$ and $L^{f}(v)$ (except for $A_{R}(l, p, n)$ in $L^{p}(\sigma)$ ) are found. For all $1 \leqslant p, q \leqslant \infty, R>1$ the asymptotic estimates of $N$-widths for classes $H_{R}(l, p, n)$ and $A_{R}(l, p, n)$ in the spaces $L^{4}(\sigma)$ and $L^{4}(v)$ are also obtained. © 1993 Academic Press, Inc.


## 1. Introduction and Statement of Results

Let $X$ be a normed linear space and $A$ be a convex, closed, centrally symmetric subset of $X$. The Kolmogorov $d_{N}$, Gel'fand $d^{N}$ and linear $\delta_{N}$ $N$-widths of a set $A$ in $X$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{N}(A ; X):=\inf _{x_{N}} \sup _{x \in \mathcal{A}} \inf _{y \in X_{N}}\|x-y\|, \quad d^{N}(A ; X):=\inf _{X^{N}} \sup _{x \in A \cap X^{N}}\|x\|, \\
\delta_{N}(A ; X):=\inf _{A_{N}} \sup _{x \in A}\left\|x-A_{N} x\right\|,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $X_{N}$ (resp. $X^{N}$ ) runs over all $N$-dimensional (resp. $N$-codimensional) subspaces of $X$ and $A_{N}$ varies over all bounded linear operators of rank $N$ which map $X$ into itself. The Bernstein $N$-width of $A$ in $X$ is defined by

$$
b_{N}(A ; X):=\sup _{X_{N+1}} \sup \left\{r: r B\left(X_{N+1}\right) \subset A\right\},
$$

[^0]where $B\left(X_{N+1}\right)$ is the unit ball of $X_{N+1}$. The standard reference for $d_{N}, d^{N}$, $\delta_{N}$, and $b_{N}$ is Pinkus [13]. For some additional information look at the review of Tikhomirov [18]. The detailed bibliography concerning $N$-widths of various classes of functions of one complex variable is given in [13, 16, 18]; see $[5,11,19]$ for the case of several complex variables.

Let $B^{n}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:|z|=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}<1\right\}, S^{n}:=\partial B^{n}, B_{R}^{n}:=R B^{n}, S_{R}^{n}:=$ $\partial B_{R}^{\prime \prime}(R>1), U:=B^{1}, T:=\partial U, U_{R}:=R U, T_{R}:=\partial U_{R}$, and let $v$ be the normalized Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{C}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{2 n}, v\left(B^{n}\right)=1, \sigma$ be the probability measure on the sphere $S^{n}$ which is invariant with respect to orthogonal group $O(2 n)$ (see [14]). The Hardy spaces $H^{p}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)$ (resp. the Bergman spaces $A^{f}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)$ ) consist of all functions $f$ holomorphic in $B_{R}^{n}$ which have finite norms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|f\|_{A^{n}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)}:=\sup _{0<r<R}\left(\int_{S^{n}}|f(r \zeta)|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta)\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \left(\text { resp. }\|f\|_{A^{\rho}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)}:=\left(\int_{B_{R}^{n}}|f(z)|^{p} d v(z)\right)^{1 / p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $p<\infty$ and $\|f\|_{H^{\times}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)}=\|f\|_{A^{\times}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)^{n}}:=\sup \left\{|f(z)|: z \in B_{R}^{n}\right\}$ if $p=\infty$. Let us denote by $B H^{p}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)^{\mu}$ (resp. $B A^{p}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)$ ) the closed unit ball in $H^{p}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)$ (resp. $A^{\rho}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)$ ).

If $f$ is holomorphic in $B_{R}^{\prime \prime}$ with homogeneous polynomial expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} F_{m}(z), \quad z \in B_{R}^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the radial derivative of $f$ is defined by

$$
\mathscr{R} f(z):=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m F_{m}(z)
$$

(see [14]). For $l \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}^{\prime} f(z):=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{(m-l)!} F_{m}(z) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the " $l$ th radial derivative" of $f$ (cf. [2]). For fixed $l, n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, $R \geqslant 1$ the "Hardy-Sobolev space" $H_{R}(l, p, n)$ (resp. the space $A_{R}(l, p, n)$ ) consist of all functions $f$ holomorphic in $B_{R}^{n}$ for which $\mathscr{R}^{\prime} f \in B H^{p}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)$ (resp. $\mathscr{R}^{\prime} f \in B A^{p}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)$ ). Some results concerning these spaces are given in $[2,8,16]$.

Let all $k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ be numerated in such a way that $k=k(j)$, $|k(j)| \leqslant|k(j+1)|(j=0,1,2, \ldots)$, where as usual $|k|:=k_{1}+\cdots+k_{n}$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ let $N^{\prime}:=\min \{m \in \mathbb{N}:|k(m)|=|k(N)|\}, \tilde{N}:=|k(N)|$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{P}_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) & :=\operatorname{span}\left\{z^{k}:|k| \leqslant N, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\} \\
\Pi_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) & :=\operatorname{span}\left\{z^{k(j)}: j=0,1, \ldots, N\right\}, \\
\pi_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) & :=\operatorname{span}\left\{z^{k(j)}: j=0,1, \ldots, N^{\prime}-1\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z^{k}:=z_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots z_{n}^{k_{n}}$. According to our notations $\pi_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)=\Pi_{N} \quad\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, $N^{\prime} \leqslant N,\left|k\left(N^{\prime}-1\right)\right|=\widetilde{N}-1$, and

$$
\tilde{N}=m \quad \text { iff } \quad\binom{n+m-1}{n} \leqslant N \leqslant\binom{ n+m}{n}-1
$$

for $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$.
Now let $f$ be given by (1.1) and let $l, N \in \mathbb{N}, l<\tilde{N}, \alpha_{N}^{\prime}:=(N-l)!/ N!$. Then we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(G_{N} f\right)(z):=\sum_{m=0}^{\bar{N}-1}\left(1-\left(\frac{|z|}{R}\right)^{2(\tilde{N}-m)}\right) F_{m}(z)  \tag{1.3}\\
& \left(G_{N}^{\prime} f\right)(z):=\sum_{m=0}^{l-1} F_{m}(z)+\sum_{m=1}^{N-1}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{2 \tilde{N}-m}^{l}}{\alpha_{m}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{|z|}{R}\right)^{2(\bar{N}-m)}\right) F_{m}(z) \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and denote by $\left(P_{N} f\right)(z)$ and $\left(P_{N}^{\prime} f\right)(z)$ the right parts of (1.3) and (1.4) after replacing there $|z|$ by 1 . Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{G}_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right):=\operatorname{span}\left\{z^{k(j)}\left(1-\left(\frac{|z|}{R}\right)^{2(\bar{N}-\mid k(j|l|}\right): j=0,1, \ldots, N^{\prime}-1\right\}, \\
& \mathscr{G}_{N}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right):=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left\{z^{k(j)}\right\}_{j=0}^{\prime},\left\{z^{k(j)}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{2 \bar{N}-j}^{\prime}}{\alpha_{j}^{I}}\left(\frac{|z|}{R}\right)^{2(\tilde{N}-|k(j)| \mid}\right\}_{j=I^{\prime}}^{N^{\prime}-1}\right\},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $l^{\prime}$ is defined as $N^{\prime}$. In the case $l=0$ we set $\mathscr{G}_{N}^{0}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right):=\mathscr{G}_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, $G_{N}^{0} f:=G_{N} f, \quad P_{N}^{0} f:=P_{N} f$, and $\mathscr{H}^{0} f:=f ;$ then $H_{R}(0, p, n)=B H^{p}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)$, $A_{R}(0, p, n)=B A^{p}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)$.

The method $f \approx G_{N}^{t} f$ is optimal in the recovery problem of the value of the function $f \in H_{R}(l, \infty, 1)$ at a given point $z \in U_{R} \backslash\{0\}$ by the Taylor information $\left\{f(0), f^{\prime}(0), \ldots, f^{(N-1)}(0)\right\}$. This fact was noted by Osipenko [10] for $l=0$ and Donald J. Newman for $l=1$ (see Micchelli and Rivlin [9, p. 42]); it is not difficult to verify that the same property is true for all $l$.

Fisher and Micchelli [6] used the method of obtaining the upper bound for $\delta_{N}\left(B H^{\infty}\left(U_{R}\right), L^{q}(\mu)\right), R>1,1 \leqslant q<\infty$, which coincide with $f \approx G_{N} f$ when $\mu=\nu$.

For $n=1, l \in \mathbb{N}$ the method $f \approx P_{N}^{l} f$ was found by Babenko [1] while solving the problem of determining the best approximation of functions $f \in H_{R}(l, \infty, 1)$ by polynomials of degree at most $N$. This method was applied by Taikov [17] and Pinkus [13, Chap. XIII] as well.

For $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, N \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{p}^{N}\left(B^{n}\right):=\left\{f: f \in H^{p}\left(B^{n}\right), \partial f^{|k|} / \partial z^{k}=0, k \in\left\{k(0), k(1), \ldots, k\left(N^{\prime}-1\right)\right\}\right\}, \\
& Y_{p}^{N}\left(B^{n}\right):=\left\{f: f \in A^{p}\left(B^{n}\right), \partial f^{|k|} / \partial z^{k}=0, k \in\left\{k(0), k(1), \ldots, k\left(N^{\prime}-1\right)\right\}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

The main result of this paper is the following:
Theorem. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, R \geqslant 1, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, n, N \in \mathbb{N}, l<\tilde{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{\prime}(\sigma)\right)=\alpha_{\tilde{N}}^{\prime} R^{\bar{N}}  \tag{1.5}\\
& d_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right)=\alpha_{\bar{N}}^{\prime} R^{\bar{N}}\left(\frac{p \tilde{N}}{2 n}+1\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{1.6}\\
& d_{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right)=\alpha_{\bar{N}}^{\prime} R^{\bar{N} \cdot 2 n ; p} \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and the same equalities are true for $d^{N}, \delta_{N}, b_{N}$. Furthermore,
(a) $\pi_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is an optimal subspace for $d_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(\sigma)\right)$, $d_{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right)$, while $\mathscr{G}_{N}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is optimal for $d_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right)$.
(b) $X_{p}^{N}\left(B^{n}\right)$ is an optimal subspace for $d^{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(\sigma)\right)$, while $Y_{p}^{N}\left(B^{n}\right)$ is optimal for $d^{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(\nu)\right)$ and $d^{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right)$.
(c) $P_{N}^{l}$ is an optimal operator for $\delta_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(\sigma)\right)$ and $\delta_{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right)$, while $G_{N}^{\prime}$ is optimal for $\delta_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right)$.
(d) $\Pi_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is an optimal subspace for $b_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(\sigma)\right)$, $b_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(\nu)\right)$ and $b_{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right)$.

When $n=1$ the statements of this theorem follow from the results of Babenko, Tikhomirov, Taikov, and Pinkus (see [13, p. 275]), except those connected with the equality (1.7) which was proved in [13] for $l=0$ only. It should be noted as classes $H_{R}(l, p, n)$ and $A_{R}(l, p, n)$ are defined by means of the radial (but not usual) derivative, the equalities (1.5)-(1.7) in the case $n=1$ differ from the corresponding ones in [13]. The theorem for $n>1, l=0$ was announced in [5].

The $N$-width $d_{N}$ lies between $b_{N}$ and $\delta_{N}$ :

$$
b_{N}(A ; X) \leqslant d_{N}(A ; X) \leqslant \delta_{N}(A ; X)
$$

and $d^{N}$ possesses the same property (see, e.g., [13, p. 207]). So the theorem will be proved if we obtain upper bounds for $\delta_{N}$ and related lower bounds
for $b_{N}$. These estimates are established in Sections 2 and 3. We use the following two well known identities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{S^{n}} f(\zeta) d \sigma(\zeta)=\int_{S^{n}} d \sigma(\zeta) \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\pi}^{\pi} f\left(e^{i u \zeta}\right) d \theta  \tag{1.8}\\
& \int_{B_{R}^{n}} f(z) d v(z)=2 n \int_{0}^{R} r^{2 n} 1 d r \int_{S^{n}} f(r \zeta) d \sigma(\zeta) \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

In Section 4 we obtain the asymptotic estimates of the $N$-widths $\left(d_{N}, d^{N}\right.$, $\delta_{N}$, and $b_{N}$ ) for classes $H_{R}(l, p, n)$ and $A_{R}(l, p, n)$ in the metrics $L^{4}(\sigma)$ and $L^{q}(v)$ for all $1 \leqslant p, q \leqslant \infty, R>1$.

Finally, in Section 5 we compare our estimates of $d_{N}\left(B H^{x}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right), L^{4}(v)\right)$ with those recently obtained by Zakharyuta [19].

## 2. Upper Bounds for $\delta_{N}$

For $0<\rho<1, t \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$
K_{t, N}(\rho, t):=\alpha_{\tilde{N}}^{\prime}+2 \sum_{m=\tilde{N}+1}^{\infty} \rho^{m} \tilde{N}_{m}^{\prime} \cos (\tilde{N}-m) t
$$

where $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, N \in \mathbb{N}, l<\tilde{N}$. It is known, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{l, N}(\rho, t) \geqslant 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0<\rho \leqslant 1, t \in \mathbb{R}($ see $[1 ; 13$, p. 251$])$.
Let $f$ be holomorphic in $B_{R}^{n}$ and $0<\rho<1, \zeta \in S^{n}$; as in [14], $f_{p}$ and $f_{\rho}^{\prime}$ are defined by $f_{\rho}(z):=f(\rho z), z \in B_{R / \rho}^{n}$ and $f_{\rho \zeta}(\lambda):=f_{\rho}(\lambda \zeta), \lambda \in U_{R / \rho}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{\rho}(z)-\left(G_{N}^{\prime} f_{\rho}\right)(z)= & \frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{2 \pi} \int_{--\pi}^{\pi}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{\tilde{N}-1} \exp (i(l-\tilde{N})(\Theta-\varphi)) \\
& \times K_{l, N}(r / R, \Theta-\varphi) f_{\rho \zeta}^{(l)}\left(R e^{i \theta}\right) d \Theta \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z=\lambda \zeta, \lambda=r e^{i \varphi}, 0<r \leqslant R, \zeta \in S^{n}$ (cf. [13, p. 254]).
It is a known fact (e.g., [14]) that for any function $f \in H^{p}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)$ there is a function $f^{*}$ such that $f^{*}(R \zeta)=\lim _{r \rightarrow R-} f(r \zeta)$ a.e. in $S^{n}$ and $\|f\|_{H^{p}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)}=$ $\left\|f^{*}(R \cdot)\right\|_{L^{\prime(\sigma)}}$. Further if $f \in H^{p}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)$ then $f(R \zeta):=f^{*}(R \zeta)$ for a.e. $\zeta \in S^{n}$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, R \geqslant 1, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, n, N \in \mathbb{N}, l<\tilde{N}$.
(a) If $f \in H_{R}(l, p, n)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-P_{N}^{l} f\right\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)} \leqslant \alpha_{\bar{N}}^{\prime} R^{-\bar{N}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-G_{N}^{l} f\right\|_{L^{p}(v)} \leqslant \alpha_{\bar{N}}^{\prime} R^{\tilde{N}}\left(\frac{p \tilde{N}}{2 n}+1\right)^{1 / p} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If $f \in A_{R}(l, p, n)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-P_{N}^{\prime} f\right\|_{L^{r^{\prime}(v)}} \leqslant \alpha_{\bar{N}}^{\prime} R \quad \bar{N} \quad(2 n / p) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $f$ is holomorphic in $B_{R}^{n}$ and let $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, $0<\rho<1$. Note that

$$
R_{p}^{\prime} f_{p}(\lambda \zeta)=\lambda^{\prime} f_{\rho \zeta}^{(0)}(\lambda)
$$

for all $\lambda \in U_{R / \rho}, \zeta \in S^{n}$. It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S^{n}}\left|f_{\rho}(\lambda \zeta)-\left(G_{N}^{\prime} f_{\beta}\right)(\lambda \zeta)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta) \\
& \quad \leqslant\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{\hat{N}_{n}} \int_{S^{n}}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\pi}^{\pi} K_{l / N}(r / R, \Theta-\varphi)\left|R^{\prime} f_{\rho}\left(R e^{i \theta \zeta}\right)\right| d \Theta\right)^{p} d \sigma(\zeta),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda=r e^{i \varphi}, 0<r \leqslant R$. Thus, since $\|K(r / R, \cdot)\|_{L^{\prime}(-\pi, \pi)}=\alpha_{\bar{N}}^{\prime}$, identity (1.8) with the well known property of the convolution

$$
\|h * g\|_{L^{\left.p_{( }-\pi, \pi\right)}} \leqslant\|h\|_{L^{p}(-\pi, \pi)} \cdot\|g\|_{L^{\prime}(-\pi, \pi)} \quad\left(h \in L^{p}, g \in L^{1}\right)
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{p}(\lambda \cdot)-\left(G_{N}^{l} f_{p}\right)(\lambda \cdot)\right\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)} \leqslant \alpha_{\tilde{N}}^{\prime}\left(\frac{|\hat{\lambda}|}{R}\right)^{\bar{N}}\left\|\mathscr{R}^{\prime} f_{\rho}(R \cdot)\right\|_{L^{r^{( }(\sigma)}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \in \bar{U}_{R}$.
Let $f \in H_{R}(l, p, n)$. It follows from [8] that there is a $q, p \leqslant q \leqslant \infty$, such that $H_{R}(l, p, n) \subset H^{q}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)$. Hence for our function $f$

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 1-}\left\|f(\lambda \cdot)-f_{\rho}(\lambda \cdot)\right\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)}=0
$$

for all $\lambda \in T_{R}$ (see [14, Sect. 5.6.6]). In particular, the case $\lambda=R=1$ is possible. If $\lambda \in U_{R}, \zeta \in S^{n}$, then by continuity $\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 1} f_{\rho}(\lambda \zeta)=f(\lambda \zeta)$. Also, it is easy to see that

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 1_{-}} G_{N}^{\prime} f_{\rho}=G_{N}^{\prime} f
$$

But then

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 1-}\left\|f_{\rho}(\lambda \cdot)-\left(G_{N}^{\prime} f_{\rho}\right)(\lambda \cdot)\right\|_{L^{\rho}(\sigma)}=\left\|f(\lambda \cdot)-\left(G_{N}^{\prime} f\right)(\lambda \cdot)\right\|_{L^{\rho}(\sigma)}
$$

and, since $\left\|\mathscr{R}^{\prime} f_{\rho}(R \cdot)\right\|_{L P(\sigma)} \leqslant 1$, inequality (2.6) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(\lambda \cdot)-\left(G_{N}^{\prime} f\right)(\lambda \cdot)\right\|_{L^{p_{(\sigma)}}} \leqslant \alpha_{\tilde{N}}^{\prime}\left(\frac{|\lambda|}{R}\right)^{\tilde{N}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This immediately gives (2.3) by setting $\lambda=1$ and substituting $P_{N}^{l} f$ for $G_{N}^{\prime} f$. If $\lambda=r, 0<r<R$, then the identity (1.9) with the inequality (2.7) gives (2.4).

Thus part (a) is established for $1 \leqslant p<\infty$.
Now let $f \in A_{R}(l, p, n)$. It follows from (2.6) that

$$
\int_{S^{n}}\left|f(\rho \zeta)-\left(G_{N}^{\prime} f_{\rho}\right)(\zeta)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta) \leqslant\left(\alpha_{\tilde{N}}^{\prime} R^{-\tilde{N}}\right)^{p} \int_{S^{n}}\left|\mathscr{K}^{\prime} f(R \rho \zeta)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta)
$$

But by definition $\left(G_{N}^{l} f_{\rho}\right)(\cdot)=\left(P_{N}^{l} f_{\rho}\right)(\cdot)$ on $S^{n}$ and identity (1.9) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f-P_{N}^{\prime} f\right\|_{L^{\prime}(v)}^{p} & \leqslant 2 n\left(\alpha_{\bar{N}}^{\prime} R^{-\bar{N}}\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{1} \rho^{2 n-1} d \rho \int_{S^{n}}\left|\mathscr{R}^{\prime} f(R \rho \zeta)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta) \\
& =2 n\left(\alpha_{\bar{N}}^{\prime}\right)^{p} R^{-\bar{N}^{p}-2 n} \int_{0}^{R} r^{2 n-1} d r \int_{S^{n}}\left|\mathscr{R} f\left(r_{\zeta}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta) \\
& =\left(\alpha_{\bar{N}}^{\prime}\right)^{p} R^{-\tilde{N} p-2 n}\left\|\mathscr{R}^{\prime} f\right\|_{A^{p}\left(B_{R}^{\prime}\right)}^{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., part (b) is proved for $1 \leqslant p<\infty$. In the case $p=\infty$, inequalities (2.3)-(2.5) coincide and obviously follow from (2.2).

The proof is complete.
Corollary 2.2. If $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, R \geqslant 1, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, n, N \in \mathbb{N}, l<\tilde{N}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(\sigma)\right) \leqslant \alpha_{\tilde{N}}^{\prime} R^{-\bar{N}}  \tag{2.8}\\
& \delta_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right) \leqslant \alpha_{\bar{N}}^{\prime} R^{-\tilde{N}}\left(\frac{p \tilde{N}}{2 n}+1\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{2.9}\\
& \delta_{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right) \leqslant \alpha_{\tilde{N}}^{\prime} R^{-\tilde{N}-2 n / p} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

This is a direct consequence of the preceding proposition and the definition of $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{N}}$.

## 3. Proof of the Theorfm

In this section the lower bounds for $b_{N}$ inverse to (2.8)-(2.10) are derived and this completes the proof of the above formulated theorem.

Proposition 3.1. Let $0<p \leqslant \infty, R>1, n, N \in \mathbb{N}$. If $P_{N} \in \mathscr{P}_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|P_{N}(R \cdot)\right\|_{I \rho_{(\sigma)}} \leqslant R^{N}\left\|P_{N}\right\|_{I \rho_{(\sigma)}},  \tag{3.1}\\
& \left\|P_{N}\right\|_{U^{\prime(A)}} \leqslant\left(\frac{N p}{2 n}+1\right)^{1 / p}\left\|P_{N}\right\|_{I^{p_{(N)}}},  \tag{3.2}\\
& \left\|P_{N}(R \cdot)\right\|_{I^{p(N)}} \leqslant R^{N+2 n / p}\left\|P_{N}\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For $n=1$ inequality (3.1) is well known (see, e.g., [13, p. 252]). Let $P_{N} \in \mathscr{P}_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right), 0<p<\infty, n>1$. It is evident that $P_{N}(\lambda \zeta)$ for every fixed $\zeta$ in $S^{n}$ is polynomial of degree $N$ of the variable $\lambda$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Thus, the onedimensional variant of inequality (3.1) gives

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\pi}^{\pi}\left|P_{N}\left(R e^{i \theta} \zeta\right)\right|^{p} d \Theta \leqslant \frac{R^{N p}}{2 \pi} \int_{\pi}^{\pi}\left|P_{N}\left(e^{i \theta} \zeta\right)\right|^{p} d \Theta
$$

for all $\zeta \in S$. Now, by the identity (1.8),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S}\left|P_{N}(R \zeta)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta) & =\int_{S} d \sigma(\zeta) \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\pi}^{\pi}\left|P_{N}\left(R e^{i \theta \zeta}\right)\right|^{p} d \Theta \\
& \leqslant R^{N_{p}} \int_{S} d \sigma(\zeta) \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\pi}^{\pi}\left|P_{N}\left(e^{i \theta \zeta}\right)\right|^{p} d \Theta \\
& =R^{N_{p}} \int_{S}\left|P_{N}(\zeta)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, (3.1) holds for each $p, 0<p<\infty$. Also it is easy to see that for every $0<r<1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r^{N_{p}} \int_{S}\left|P_{N}(\zeta)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta) \leqslant \int_{S}\left|P_{N}(r \zeta)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta), \\
& \int_{S}\left|P_{N}(\operatorname{Rr} \zeta)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta) \leqslant R^{N \rho} \int_{S}\left|P_{N}(r \zeta)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the identity (1.9) gives (3.2), (3.3). The case $p=\infty$ is established by passing to the limit as $p \uparrow \infty$.

The proof of proposition is finished.

Remark. For $p=\infty$, inequality (3.1) represents the known BernsteinWalsh inequality for a ball (see [15, p. 102]).

If $Q_{N} \in \mathscr{P}_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{1}\right), R>0,1 \leqslant p<\infty$, then by the well-known Bernstein inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|Q_{N}^{\prime}\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \Theta \leqslant\left(\frac{N}{R}\right)^{p} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|Q_{N}\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \Theta \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left\|Q_{N}^{\prime}\right\|_{C\left(T_{R}\right)} \leqslant(N / R)\left\|Q_{N}\right\|_{C\left(T_{R}\right)}$. (For a simple proof, see for instance [13, p. 252]).

Proposition 3.2. Let $P_{N} \in \mathscr{P}_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right), R>0,1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, l, n, N \in \mathbb{N}, l<N$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{R}^{\prime} P_{N}\right\|_{H H^{r}\left\{B_{R}^{n}\right)} \leqslant\left(\alpha_{N}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left\|P_{N}\right\|_{H^{q^{\prime}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{R}^{\prime} P_{N}\right\|_{A^{P}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)} \leqslant\left(x_{N}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left\|P_{N}\right\|_{A^{n}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the case $1 \leqslant p<\infty$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \zeta \in S^{n}$ let $P_{N \zeta}(\lambda):=P_{N}(\lambda \zeta)$. From the definition of the $l$ th radial derivative (see (1.2)) it follows that

$$
\mathscr{R}^{\prime} P_{N}(\lambda \zeta)=\dot{\lambda}^{\prime} P_{N \zeta}^{(\prime)}(\lambda) .
$$

Hence, applying (3.4) to $P_{N \Sigma}$ yields

$$
\left.\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|\mathscr{R}^{\prime} P_{N}\left(\operatorname{Re}^{i \theta \zeta} \zeta\right)^{p} d \Theta \leqslant \frac{\left(\alpha_{N}^{\prime}\right)^{-p}}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\right| P_{N}\left(\operatorname{Re}^{i \theta} \zeta\right)\right|^{p} d \Theta
$$

Combining this with the identity (1.8), we obtain

$$
\left\|\mathscr{R}^{\prime} P_{N}(R \cdot)\right\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}} \leqslant\left(\alpha_{N}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left\|P_{N}(R \cdot)\right\|_{L^{P(\sigma)}} .
$$

That is, (3.5) holds. Now by (1.9) and (3.5) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{R}^{n}}\left|\mathscr{R}^{\prime} P_{N}(z)\right|^{p} d \nu(z) & =2 n \int_{0}^{R} r^{2 n-1} d r \int_{S^{n}}\left|\mathscr{R}^{\prime} P_{N}(r \zeta)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta) \\
& \leqslant 2 n\left(\alpha_{n}^{l}\right)^{-p} \int_{0}^{R} r^{2 n-1} d r \int_{S^{n}}\left|P_{N}(r \zeta)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta) \\
& =\left(\alpha_{N}^{\prime}\right)^{-p} \int_{B_{R}^{n}}\left|P_{N}(z)\right|^{p} d v(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

which establishes the proposition.

Corollary 3.3. If $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, R \geqslant 1, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, n, N \in \mathbb{N}, l<\tilde{N}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(\sigma)\right) \geqslant \alpha_{\tilde{N}}^{\prime} R^{\tilde{N}}  \tag{3.7}\\
& b_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right) \geqslant \alpha_{\tilde{N}}^{\prime} R^{\tilde{N}}\left(\frac{p \tilde{N}}{2 n}+1\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{3.8}\\
& b_{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right) \geqslant \alpha_{\bar{N}}^{\prime} R^{\tilde{N} \cdots 2 n ; p} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, according to (3.1) and (3.5), if

$$
P_{N} \in \Pi_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right), \quad\left\|P_{N}\right\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)} \leqslant x_{\bar{N}}^{\prime} R^{\bar{N}}
$$

then $P_{N} \in H_{R}(l, p, n)$. That is, (3.7) holds. Also, it is easy to see that Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 imply the inequalities (3.8) and (3.9).

Proof of the Theorem. As noted in Section 1, $b_{N} \leqslant d_{N} \leqslant \delta_{N}$ and $b_{N} \leqslant$ $d^{N} \leqslant \delta_{N}$ are always true. That is why the required equalities for $N$-widths are derived from Corollaries 2.2 and 3.3. Now parts (a), (c), (d) of the theorem come out from the methods of proofs of these corollaries. Part (b) for $d^{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(\sigma)\right)$ follows from the fact that

$$
\sup \left\{\|f\|_{L^{n}(\sigma)}: f \in X_{p}^{N}\left(B^{n}\right)\right\}=\sup \left\{\left\|f-P_{N}^{\prime} f\right\|_{L^{\prime}(\sigma)}: f \in X_{p}^{N}\left(B^{n}\right)\right\} \leqslant \alpha_{\tilde{N}}^{\prime} R{ }^{\bar{N}}
$$

The optimality of the subspaces $Y^{N}\left(B^{n}\right)$ for $d^{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right)$ and $d^{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{p}(v)\right)$ is likewise established.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 4. Some Asymptotic Estimates

For $n=1$ the asymptotic estimates for the $N$-widths ( $d_{N}, d^{N}, \delta_{N}$ and $b_{N}$ ) of the classes $H_{R}(l, p, n)$ and $A_{R}(l, p, n)$ in the metrics $L^{4}(\sigma)$ and $L^{q}(\nu)$ for all $1 \leqslant p, q \leqslant \infty, R>1$ come out from the result of [4]. These estimates will now be extended to the multidimensional case.

We recall that, if $x_{N}>0$ and $y_{N}>0$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ then the notation $x_{N} \asymp y_{N}$ means that there exist positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, independent of $N$, for which $c_{1} y_{N} \leqslant x_{N} \leqslant c_{2} y_{N}$ for all $N$ sufficiently large.

Proposition 4.1. Let $1 \leqslant p, q \leqslant \infty, R>1, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{q}(v)\right) \asymp \tilde{N}^{-l+1 / p \cdot 1 / q} R^{-\tilde{N}}  \tag{4.1}\\
& d_{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{q}(\sigma)\right) \asymp \tilde{N}^{-l+1 / p} R^{-\tilde{N}}  \tag{4.2}\\
& d_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{q}(v)\right) \asymp \tilde{N} \quad{ }^{1 / 4} R^{-\tilde{N}}  \tag{4.3}\\
& d_{N}\left(H_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{q}(\sigma)\right) \asymp \tilde{N}^{-t} R^{-\tilde{N}} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and the same relations are true for $d^{N}, \delta_{N}, b_{N}$.

Proof. If $f$ is holomorphic in $B_{R}^{n}$ with homogeneous expansion (1.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}(\lambda \zeta)=\lambda^{m} c_{m}\left(f_{\zeta}\right), \quad \lambda \in U_{R}, \quad \zeta \in S^{n} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f_{\zeta}(\lambda):=f(\lambda \zeta)=\sum_{m=0}^{x} c_{m}\left(f_{5}\right) \lambda^{m}
$$

For $m>l, 0<r<R$,

$$
c_{m}\left(f_{\zeta}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{m}^{\prime}}{2 \pi i} \int_{T_{r}} \frac{f_{\zeta}^{(l)}(\lambda)}{\lambda^{m-1+1}} d \lambda=\frac{\alpha_{m}^{l}}{2 \pi i} \int_{T} \frac{R^{\prime} f(\lambda \zeta)}{\lambda^{m+1}} d \lambda .
$$

Let $f \in A_{R}(l, p, n), 1 \leqslant p<\infty$. Hölder's inequality gives

$$
\left|c_{m}\left(f_{\zeta}\right)\right| \leqslant \alpha_{m}^{\prime}\left(\left.\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{T_{r}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{X}^{\prime} f\left(r e^{\left.\left.i \theta_{\zeta}\right)\left.\right|^{p} d \Theta\right)^{1 / p}, \text {.p }}\right.\right.
$$

and (1.8) and (1.9) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{m}\left(f_{\zeta}\right)\right| r^{m} \leqslant \alpha_{m}^{\prime}\left(\frac{m p}{2 n}+1\right)^{1 / p} R^{-m-2 n i p}\left\|: R^{\prime} f\right\|_{A^{\rho}\left(B_{R}^{\prime \prime}\right.} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}, \tilde{N}>l, 1 \leqslant q<\infty$. Since $\left\|\mathscr{R}^{\prime} f\right\|_{A^{\left.p_{\left(B_{R}^{\prime \prime}\right.}\right)}} \leqslant 1$, by (1.9), (4.5), and (4.6) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f-\sum_{m=0}^{\tilde{N}-1} F_{m}\right\|_{L^{q}(v)}^{q} & =2 n \int_{0}^{1} r^{2 n-1} d r \int_{S^{n}}\left|\sum_{m=\bar{N}}^{\infty} F_{m}\left(r_{\zeta}^{\zeta}\right)\right|^{q} d \sigma(\zeta) \\
& \leqslant c_{3} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{m=\bar{N}}^{\infty} m^{-1+1 / p} R^{-m} r^{m}\right)^{q} r^{2 n-1} d r \\
& \leqslant c_{4} \tilde{N}^{1 q+q / p-1} R^{\tilde{N}_{4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, using (1.8), (4.5), and (4.6), it is easy to see that

$$
\left\|f-\sum_{m=0}^{\tilde{N}-1} F_{m}\right\|_{L^{q}(\sigma)} \leqslant c_{5} \tilde{N}^{1+1 / p} R^{\bar{N}}
$$

for all $1 \leqslant q \leqslant \infty$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{\varphi}(v)\right) \leqslant c_{6} \tilde{N}^{1+1 / p-1 / 4} R^{\tilde{N}},  \tag{4.7}\\
& \delta_{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{\varphi}(\sigma)\right) \leqslant c_{7} \widetilde{N}^{-1+1 / p} R^{-\bar{N}} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $Q \in \mathscr{P}_{N}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right), 1 \leqslant p, q \leqslant \infty$. We show that for every $R>1$ there is a constant $c_{8}$, independent of $R$ and $Q$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|Q\|_{A^{p}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)} \leqslant c_{8} N \quad{ }^{1 / p} R^{N}\|Q\|_{L^{4}(\sigma)} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $Q=\sum_{m=0}^{N} Q_{m}$ is the homogeneous expansion of $Q$ and

$$
Q_{\zeta}(\lambda):=Q(\lambda \zeta)=\sum_{m=0}^{N} c_{m}\left(Q_{\zeta}\right) \lambda^{m}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \zeta \in S^{n},
$$

then by (4.5)

$$
Q_{m}(\lambda \zeta)=\lambda^{m} c_{m}\left(Q_{\zeta}\right)
$$

By the formula for the Taylor's coefficients

$$
\left|c_{m}\left(Q_{\zeta}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|Q\left(e^{i \theta} \zeta\right)\right| d \Theta
$$

Now, by Hölder's inequality and the identity (1.8)

$$
\left|c_{m}\left(Q_{\zeta}\right)\right| \leqslant\|Q\|_{L^{q_{(\sigma)}}}
$$

for all $1 \leqslant q \leqslant \infty$. But then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|Q\|_{A^{n}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right)}^{p} & =2 n \int_{0}^{R} r^{2 n}{ }^{1} d r \int_{S^{n}}\left|\sum_{m=0}^{N} Q_{m}\left(r^{\zeta}\right)\right|^{p} d \sigma(\zeta) \\
& \leqslant c_{9}\|Q\|_{l^{4}(\sigma)}^{p} \int_{0}^{R}\left(\sum_{m^{\prime}=0}^{N} r^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) r^{2 n}{ }^{1} d r \\
& \leqslant c_{10} N^{-1} R^{N p}\|Q\|_{L^{p}(\sigma)}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, (4.9) holds.
Now let $P_{N} \in \Pi_{N+1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. Then by (4.9) and Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathscr{R}^{\prime} P_{N}\right\|_{A^{\prime}\left(B_{R}^{n},\right.} & \leqslant c_{11} R^{\tilde{N}} \tilde{N} \quad 1 / p\left\|\mathscr{R}^{\prime} P_{N}\right\|_{L^{4}(\sigma)} \leqslant c_{12} R^{\tilde{N}} \tilde{N}^{1 / p}\left\|P_{N}\right\|_{L^{4}(\sigma)} \\
& \leqslant c_{13} R^{\tilde{N}} \tilde{N}^{\prime} \quad 1 / p+1 / 4\left\|P_{N}\right\|_{L^{4}(n)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{\varphi}(v)\right) \geqslant c_{14} \tilde{N}^{l+1 / p-1 / 4} R^{\tilde{N}}, \\
& b_{N}\left(A_{R}(l, p, n) ; L^{\varphi}(\sigma)\right) \geqslant c_{15} \tilde{N}^{-l+1 / p} R^{-\bar{N}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which jointly with (4.7) and (4.8) give (4.1) and (4.2). Relations (4.3) and (4.4) are proved by the same method.

The proof is finished.

Remark. If $1 \leqslant q \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, R \geqslant 1, N \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$
d_{N}\left(B H^{p}\left(U_{R}\right), L^{q}(\sigma)\right)=R^{-N}, \quad d_{N}\left(B H^{p}\left(U_{R}\right), L^{q}(v)\right)=R^{-N}\left(\frac{q N}{2}+1\right)^{-1 / 4}
$$

and both equalities are true for $d^{N}$ and $\delta_{N}$ (see [5,12]). For $p<q$ exact values are known only for the $N$-widths ( $d^{N}$ and $\delta_{N}$ ) of $B H^{2}\left(U_{R}\right)$ in $C(T)$ (see [11]).

## 5. Concluding Notes

Let $B H^{\times}(\Omega)$ denote the class of those functions $f$ which are holomorphic in the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{\prime \prime}$ and satisfy $|f| \leqslant 1$ therein. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\Omega$. The history of the widths for the class $B H^{x}(\Omega)$ in $C(K)$ in the case $n=1$ can be found in [18] (see also [13, p.276]). For $n>1$, Zakharyuta [19] has recently got the asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log d_{N}\left(B H^{\infty}(\Omega), C(K)\right) \sim-2 \pi\left(\frac{n!}{C(K, \Omega)}\right)^{1 / n} N^{1 / n} \quad(n \rightarrow \infty), \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where compact $K \subset \Omega$ is subjected to some conditions of regularity and $C(K, \Omega)$ is the capacity of $K$ related to $\Omega$. Here the notation $x_{N} \sim y_{N}$ $(N \rightarrow \infty)$ means that $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(x_{N} / y_{N}\right)=1$. The proof of formula (5.1) is obtained by extension of the methods of the paper [20] to the multidimensional case using complex potential theory.

In the case when $\Omega=G_{R}$ is a canonical neighbourhood of a compact $K$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, formula (5.1) assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log d_{N}\left(B H^{\infty}\left(G_{R}\right), C(K)\right) \sim-(n!N)^{1 / n} \log R \quad(R>1, N \rightarrow \infty) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and can be derived from the multivariate Bernstein-Walsh theorem (see, e.g., [15, Chap. 3]).

For $p=\infty, l=0$, equality (1.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{N}\left(B H^{\infty}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right), C\left(\bar{B}^{n}\right)\right)=R^{\bar{N}} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (5.3) is in agreement with (5.1) and (5.2), as $\widetilde{N} \sim(n!N)^{1 / n}$ $(N \rightarrow \infty)$ and $C\left(\bar{B}^{n}, B_{R}^{n}\right)=(2 \pi / \log R)^{n}$. By Proposition 4.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{N}\left(B H^{\infty}\left(B_{R}^{n}\right), L^{\varphi}(v)\right) \asymp \tilde{N}^{-1 / 4} R^{-\tilde{N}} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leqslant q<\infty$.

Prohlem. Comparing (5.4) with (5.1) and (5.3) it is natural to look for conditions on $K$ and $\Omega, K \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{\prime \prime}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{N}\left(B H^{\times}(\Omega), L^{4}(K)\right) \asymp \tilde{N} \quad{ }^{1 / 4} \exp (-\tilde{N} x), \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $1 \leqslant q \leqslant \infty, \alpha:=2 \pi /(C(K, \Omega))^{1 / n}$. If $n=1$ and the boundary $\partial K$ consists of a finite number of disjoint curves of bounded rotation, then formula (5.5) can be proved (at least in case $q=\infty$ ) by the methods considered in [ 3,7$]$. In both methods upper bounds are received by some modifications of the classical Faber approximation. It is thus natural to ask which methods will be used in the multidimensional case.
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